First glance at $B^0 \to \eta' K^0$ time-dependent CPV analysis

Stefano Lacaprara

INFN Padova

23^{rd} B2GM, Physics session
KEK, February 1^{st} 2016

Outline

1. Introduction and motivations
2. $B^0 \to \eta'(\to \eta_{\gamma\gamma}\pi^+\pi^-)(K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-)$
   - Background
   - $B^0 \to \eta'(\to \eta_{\gamma\gamma}\pi^+\pi^-)(K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0)$
3. $B^0 \to \eta'(\to \eta_{3\pi}\pi^+\pi^-)(K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-)$
   - Background
   - $B^0 \to \eta'(\to \eta_{3\pi}\pi^+\pi^-)(K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0)$
4. Summary and outlook

Outline

Introduction and motivations

A sensitivity study for Time-Dependent CP violation analysis in the $B^0 \to \eta' K^0$channel, a charmless $b \to sq\bar{q}$ decay

- CP asymmetry from time-dependent decay rate into CP eigenstates;
- $S_{\eta' K^0} = \sin 2\phi_1^{eff}$ tightly related to $\sin 2\phi_1$
  - identical if only penguin diagram were present;
  - QCD factorization $\Delta S_{\eta' K^0} \in [-0.03, 0.03]$
  - new physics can enter in the loop, shifting $\Delta S_{\eta' K^0}$ more than SM expectation
- Similar to $B^0 \to \phi K^0$, see work by A. Gaz
  - more complex final state;
  - η' is a pseudo-scalar (ϕ a vector);
  - large BR: $\sim 6.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$ ($\sim 10x\text{BR}(B^0 \to \phi K^0)$)[CLEO(1998)]
    - ★ constructive interference between penguin diagrams
  - actual uncertainties $\sigma_{stat} = 0.07, \sigma_{syst} = 0.03$[Belle(2014)]
  - projected for 50 ab^{-1} $\sigma_{stat} = 0.008, \sigma_{syst} = 0.008$[Urquijo(2015)]
  - no competition from LHCb (neutrals);





Status

- Channel have been analyzed in B-factory [BABAR(2009), Belle(2007), Belle(2014)];
- uncertainties are mostly statistical ($\sim$ 3500 events for all final states);
- quasi-two body approach;
- many decay channels available $B^0 \to \eta' K^0_S$
  - $\eta' \to \rho(\to \pi^+\pi^-)\gamma$; BR:29% not yet: Hulya Atmacan (METU) is interested in working on this
  - $\eta' \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$; BR:43%

    $\eta_{\gamma\gamma}$: $\eta \to \gamma\gamma$; BR:40%

    $\eta_{3\pi}$: $\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$; BR:23%
  - $K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0$,
  - Total BR($B_0 \to \eta'(\to \eta_{\gamma\gamma}/\eta_{3\pi}\pi^+\pi^-)K^0_S$) = 27% today
- $B^0 \to \eta' K^0_L$ not yet studied
- Complex final state, neutrals, large combinatorics;
- Release used `rel-00-05-03`
- Signal: MC5 BGx0 (some private production); Background MC5 BGx1

Outline

Selections $\eta_{\gamma\gamma}$

$$B^0 \to \eta'(\to \eta_{\gamma\gamma}\pi^+\pi^-)(K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-)$$

- **skim** at least one decay chain have been reconstructed
  - w/o vertex or mass fit and with loose selections (as for the background)
  - Using the same skim for all decay channels: run only once on background
- **pre-selection** $N \geq 1$ decay chain, with vertex/mass constraint
- **selection** cuts on reconstructed quantities

good candidate selection:

- $M_{bc} > 5.25\,\text{GeV}$;
- $|\Delta E| < 0.1\,\text{GeV}$;
- $M(\eta_{\gamma\gamma}) \in [0.45, 0.57]\,\text{GeV}$;
- $M(\eta') \in [0.93, 0.98]\,\text{GeV}$;
- $M(K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-) \in [0.48, 0.52]\,\text{GeV}$;
- $\text{PID}_\pi(\pi^\pm) > 0.2$; Should use $\mathcal{L}$-ratio instead
- $d_0(\pi^\pm) < 0.08\text{mm}$;
- $z_0(\pi^\pm) < 0.1\text{mm}$;
- $\text{N hits}_{PXD}(\pi^\pm) > 1$
- $\text{P-value}_{vtx}(B_0, \eta', K^0_S) > 1 \cdot 10^{-5}$

Best candidate

if $N_{cands} > 1$, select candidate with highest $\text{P-value}_{vtx}(B_0, \eta', \eta, K^0_S)$

Distributions: all/good candidates



- combinatorics small
- some true cand lost (mostly due to PID(π) and N hits$_{PXD}(\pi^{\pm}) > 1$);
- almost all good candidate(s) are true;
- M_η has a lower tail;

Distributions: best candidate



All best candidates are true: SXF is negligible

Efficiency and Δt resolution

Cands multiplicity





Efficiency %	
skim	57.1
preselection	48.1
good cands	33.1
MC true	31.9
SXF	1.2



Flavour tagging is random, with $\epsilon(1-2w)^2 = 32\%$ dilution

Background reduction

$B^0 \to \eta'(\to \eta_{\gamma\gamma}\pi^+\pi^-)(K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-)$

- Background MC sample **BGx1**, single skim for all channels;
- Using only a fraction: **L=30 fb^{-1}**;
  - need to process the full MC5 dataset to increase # of selected events;
  - Need to reduce the skim output!
- NB No cut (yet) on continuum discriminating variable
  - still learning how to use it
  - Alessandro showed some issue in $B^0 \to \phi K^0$presentation on 2015/12/11

Sample	# Ev (M)	Skim (M)	ϵ_{skim}	pre-sel	sel	ϵ_{sel}
$u\bar{u}$	48.15	1.023	$2.13 \cdot 10^{-2}$	8196	93	$1.96 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$d\bar{d}$	13.03	0.274	$2.27 \cdot 10^{-2}$	2333	37	$2.84 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$s\bar{s}$	11.49	0.238	$2.07 \cdot 10^{-2}$	2334	18	$1.57 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$c\bar{c}$	38.87	1.127	$2.90 \cdot 10^{-2}$	9911	123	$1.09 \cdot 10^{-6}$
total	111.54	2.662	$2.39 \cdot 10^{-2}$	22774	271	$2.43 \cdot 10^{-6}$

Background distribution at preselection level



$B^0 \to \eta'(\to \eta_{\gamma\gamma}\pi^+\pi^-)(K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0)$

- Similar selection as in $K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$ case, taking into account the more difficult π^0 reconstruction
  - $\Delta E \in [-0.15, 0.25]$ GeV; (< 0.1 for $K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$)
  - $M(\pi^0) \in [0.1, 0.15]$ GeV;
  - $M(K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0) \in [0.42, 0.52]$ GeV;
  - (full list in backup)



- combinatorics larger but still manageable (~ 5 cands/ev)
- lower tails for $M_{\pi^0, K^0_S, \eta}$;
- SXF still small

Efficiency %	
skim	40.5
preselection	36.1
good cands	15.6
MC true	13.5
SXF	2.2

$\epsilon(K^{00}_S) \sim 1/2\ \epsilon(K^{+-}_S)$

Outline

Selections $\eta_{3\pi}$

- **skim, preselection** as for the $\eta_{\gamma\gamma}$channel

good candidate selection:

- $M_{bc} > 5.25\,\text{GeV}$;
- $\Delta E \in [-0.15, 0.15]\,\text{GeV}$;
- $M(\eta_{3\pi}) \in [0.52, 0.57]\,\text{GeV}$;
- $M(\eta') \in [0.93, 0.98]\,\text{GeV}$;
- $M(\pi^0) \in [0.1, 0.15]\,\text{GeV}$;
- $M(K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-) \in [0.48, 0.52]\,\text{GeV}$;
- $\text{PID}_\pi(\pi^\pm) > 0.2$;
- $d_0(\pi^\pm) < 0.08\text{mm}$;
- $z_0(\pi^\pm) < 0.15\text{mm}$;
- $\text{N hits}_{PXD}(\pi^\pm) > 1$
- $\text{P-value}_{vtx}(B_0, \eta', \eta, K^0_S) > 1 \cdot 10^{-5}$

Best candidate

if $N_{cands} > 1$, select candidate with highest $\text{P-value}_{vtx}(B_0, \eta', \eta, K^0_S)$

Distributions: all/good candidates



- Combinatorics is huge ($6\pi^{\pm}$);
- same problem with π^0;
- η' and $\eta_{3\pi}$ well reconstructed for good candidates
- non negligible tails for MC true π^0, η' and $\eta_{3\pi}$
- some 20% of good candidates are false

Distributions: best candidate



Sizeable SXF $\sim$ 20%, is smarter best cand selection possible?

Efficiency and Δt resolution





Better resolution ($4\pi^{\pm}$)

Efficiency %	
skim	57.3
preselection	54.8 (?)
good cands	19.1
MC true	15.3
SXF	3.8

- Lower efficiency: only due to π^0?
- pre-sel almost 100% on skim? Combinatorics? to be investigated
- Got SWAP problem during event selection on skims, only fraction of skim processed

Background reduction

$B^0 \to \eta'(\to \eta_{3\pi}\pi^+\pi^-)(K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-)$

- Background MC sample **BGx1**;
- L=30 fb^{-1};
- really need to process the full MC5 dataset to increment statistics of selected events;
  - NB No cut (yet) on continuum discriminating variable
- larger contribute from $c\bar{c}$
- reduction is larger ($\sim 10x$) than for $\eta_{\gamma\gamma}$channel (but ϵ as well)

Sample	# Ev (M)	Skim (M)	ϵ_{skim}	pre-sel	sel	ϵ_{sel}
$u\bar{u}$	48.15	1.023	$2.13 \cdot 10^{-2}$	24037	14	$2.91 \cdot 10^{-7}$
$d\bar{d}$	13.03	0.274	$2.27 \cdot 10^{-2}$	6552	6	$1.25 \cdot 10^{-7}$
$s\bar{s}$	11.49	0.238	$2.07 \cdot 10^{-2}$	9686	5	$1.04 \cdot 10^{-7}$
$c\bar{c}$	38.87	1.127	$2.90 \cdot 10^{-2}$	56653	40	$8.31 \cdot 10^{-7}$
total	111.54	2.662	$2.39 \cdot 10^{-2}$	96928	271	$1.35 \cdot 10^{-7}$

Background distribution at preselection level

$B^0 \to \eta'(\ \eta(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\ \pi^+\pi^-)\ K^0_S(\pi^+\pi^-)$













$B^0 \to \eta'(\to \eta_{3\pi}\pi^+\pi^-)(K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0)$

- Channel not used in Belle
- Selection $\sim$ as before
  - $\Delta E \in [-0.15, 0.25]$ GeV; (< 0.15 for $K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$)
  - (full list in backup)





Private production

- combinatorics is even larger ($\sim$ 30 candidates per event)
- Sizeable SXF $\sim$ 30%, smarter best cand selection is possible
- $\epsilon(K^{00}_S) \sim 1/2\ \epsilon(K^{+-}_S)$

Efficiency %	
preselection	35.5
good cands	10.1
best cand	5.96
SXF	3.8

Outline

Channels summary

With some comparison to $B^0 \to \phi K^0$(A.Gaz) and $J/\psi(\to 2\mu)K^0_S$ channels

$B^0 \to$	BR 10^{-5}	Eff. sel. %	SXF %	Bgnd.* $\cdot 10^{-6}$	Δt reso
$\eta'(\to \eta_{\gamma\gamma}\pi^+\pi^-)K^0_S$	1.1	31.9 K^{+-}_S 13.1 K^{00}_S	1.2 2.1	2.43 1.25	2.25 ps
$\eta'(\to \eta_{3\pi}\pi^+\pi^-)K^0_S$	0.6	12.5 K^{+-}_S 6.0 K^{00}_S	3.5 3.8	0.58 –†	1.90 ps
$\phi(\to K^+K^-)K^0_S$	0.6	35.2 K^{+-}_S 13.7 K^{00}_S		~ 20 ~ 4	2.11 ps
$\phi(\to 2\pi)K^0_S$	0.07	28.3 K^{+-}_S		~ 700	1.42 ps
$J/\psi(\to 2\mu)K^0_S$	52	-	-	-	0.92 ps

* NB. w/o continuum suppression cut!

†: bug found in background skimming

Outlook

- Study is still very preliminary
- So far only looked at signal $\eta' \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$, with $\eta_{\gamma\gamma}$ and $\eta_{3\pi}$;
  - $\rho\gamma$ final state not yet addressed;
  - K^0_L not yet looked at, too;
  - selection still to be optimized, but first attempt is good enough to start with;
- first look at continuum background (from MC5 campaign);
  - No continuum suppression yet
- need to look at peaking background;
- signal extraction and fit to be implement
  - synergies with $B^0 \to \phi K^0$analysis;
- Still long road toward a full scale sensitivity exercise, took first steps

Additional stuff

Additional or backup slides

Technicalities

- Release used `rel-00-05-03`
- code in GIT https://github.com/lacaprara/b2pd_analysis
- data used:
  - Privately produced signal;
  - MC5 for signal (BGx0) (still partially);
  - MC5 BGx1 sample for continuum background;
    - Still only a fraction of produced dataset;
    - Skim (with loose selection) on large dataset;
    - Full selection on skimmed events;
    - trying to produce a single skim for all channels, not finalized yet
    - got problem (memory and crash in mixed and charged, respectively): to be investigated;

Background

Background selection done in two steps:

1. **Skim:** require a reconstructed decay chain, in any of the four channels, w/ loose selection and w/o vertex fit and constraint (for speed);
   - Trying to run skim on continuum background once for all channels;
2. **Selection:** apply all selection cuts
   - 2.1 **Pre-selection:** re-reconstruct the proper decay chain (exclusive) w/ vertex and mass constraint
   - 2.2 **Final:** apply all selection cuts

- NB No cut (yet) on continuum discriminating variable
- still learning how to use it
- Alessandro showed some issue in $B^0 \to \phi K^0$presentation on 2015/12/11

Selections

$$B^0 \to \eta'(\to \eta_{\gamma\gamma}\pi^+\pi^-)(K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0)$$

- **preselection** at least one decay chain have been reconstructed
- **good candidate selection**:
  - $M_{bc} > 5.25\,\text{GeV}$;
  - $\Delta E \in [-0.15, 0.25]\,\text{GeV}$; ($< 0.1$ for $K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$)
  - $M(\eta_{\gamma\gamma}) \in [0.45, 0.57]\,\text{GeV}$;
  - $M(\eta') \in [0.93, 0.98]\,\text{GeV}$;
  - $M(\pi^0) \in [0.1, 0.15]\,\text{GeV}$;
  - $M(K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0) \in [0.42, 0.52]\,\text{GeV}$;
  - $\text{PID}_\pi(\pi^\pm) > 0.2$;
  - $d_0(\pi^\pm) < 0.08\text{mm}$;
  - $z_0(\pi^\pm) < 0.15\text{mm}$;
  - $\text{N hits}_{PXD}(\pi^\pm) > 1$
  - $\text{P-value}_{vtx}(B_0, \eta', \eta, K^0_S) > 1 \cdot 10^{-5}$
- if $N_{cands} > 1$, select candidate with highest $\text{P-value}_{vtx}(B_0, \eta', \eta, K^0_S)$

Distributions: all/good candidates



- combinatorics larger but still manageable
- lower tails for $M_{\pi^0, K^0_S, \eta}$;
- still most of all good candidate(s) are true;

Distributions: best candidate



Most best candidates are true: SXF is small $\sim 2\%$

Efficiency and Δt resolution





Efficiency %	
preselection	38.2
good cands	15.3
best cand	13.1

$$\epsilon(K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0) \sim 0.5\ \epsilon(K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-)$$



Flavour tagging is random,
with $\epsilon(1-2w)^2) = 32\%$ diluition

Background distribution at preselection level



Selections

$$B^0 \to \eta'(\to \eta_{3\pi}\pi^+\pi^-)(K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0)$$

- **preselection** at least one decay chain have been reconstructed
- **good candidate selection**:
  - $M_{bc} > 5.25\,\text{GeV}$;
  - $\Delta E \in [-0.15, 0.25]\,\text{GeV}$;
  - $M(\eta_{3\pi}) \in [0.52, 0.57]\,\text{GeV}$;
  - $M(\eta') \in [0.93, 0.98]\,\text{GeV}$;
  - $M(\pi^0) \in [0.1, 0.15]\,\text{GeV}$;
  - $M(K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0) \in [0.4, 0.52]\,\text{GeV}$;
  - $\text{PID}_\pi(\pi^\pm) > 0.2$;
  - $d_0(\pi^\pm) < 0.08\text{mm}$;
  - $z_0(\pi^\pm) < 0.15\text{mm}$;
  - $\text{N hits}_{PXD}(\pi^\pm) > 1$
  - $\text{P-value}_{vtx}(B_0, \eta', \eta) > 1 \cdot 10^{-5}$
- if $N_{cands} > 1$, select candidate with highest $\text{P-value}_{vtx}(B_0, \eta', \eta, K^0_S)$

Distributions: all/good candidates



- NB: channel not used in Belle
- combinatorics is huge
- η and η' reconstruction is good

Distributions: best candidate



Sizeable SXF $\sim$ 30%, smarter best cand selection is possible

Efficiency and Δt resolution



Efficiency %	
preselection	35.5
good cands	10.1
best cand	5.96
SXF	3.8

$$\epsilon(K_S^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0) \sim 0.5\ \epsilon(K_S^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)$$





Private production

Background distribution at preselection level

$B^0 \to \eta'(\ \eta(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\ \pi^+\pi^-)\ K^0_S(\pi^0\pi^0)$













Bibliography I

[CLEO(1998)] CLEO. Observation of high momentum η' production in B decays. *PRL*, 81:1786, 1998. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.1786. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.1786.

[Urquijo(2015)] Phillip Urquijo. Comparison between belle ii and lhcb physics projections. Technical Report BELLE2-NOTE-PH-2015-004, Apr 2015.

[BABAR(2009)] BABAR. Measurement of time dependent *cp* asymmetry parameters in B^0 meson decays to ωK^0_S, $\eta' K^0$, and $\pi^0 K^0_S$. *PRD*, 79:052003, 2009. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.79.052003. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.052003.

[Belle(2007)] Belle. Observation of time-dependent *cp* violation in $B^0 \to \eta' K^0$ decays and improved measurements of *cp* asymmetries in $B^0 \to \varphi K^0$, $K^0_S K^0_S K^0_S$ and $B^0 \to j/\psi K^0$ decays. *PRL*, 98:031802, 2007. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.031802. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.031802.

[Belle(2014)] Belle. Measurement of time-dependent cp violation in $b_0 \to \eta' k_0$ decays. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2014 (10):165, 2014. doi: 10.1007/JHEP10(2014)165. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10%282014%29165.