Neutrinos Underground

Hank Sobel AAAS February 2004



This Talk

- Atmospheric neutrinos
- Early experiments
- First indications of the atmospheric neutrino anomaly
- The Super-Kamiokande water Cherenkov detector
- Some results
- Future plans

Cosmic-rays

Primary Cosmic-ray interaction in the atmosphere.

 π

μ

U

Ve

 π

 $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{I}}$

 v_{e}

U

ν

Shower of particles produced

Vμ

Vµ

•They bombard the Earth uniformly from all directions.

Detailed flux calculations: Lipari Battistoni Gaisser, Stanev,... Honda Volkova Butkevich

Indirect detection

The neutrino is observed by "seeing" the product of its interaction with matter.



First observations

CASE-WITS

F. Reines *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **15**, 429 (1965).



Kolar

C.V. Achar *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **18**, 196 and **19**, 78 (1965).



Veutrino

(7,500 feet) 165 events

Depth – Intensity



Atmospheric Neutrinos

•Interactions of atmospheric neutrinos occur in underground detectors and are dominant background for nucleon decay search.

•First significant measurements from IMB and Kamiokande nucleon decay experiments.

•Uncertainties in primary flux and hadronic interactions Absolute numbers of v_{μ} and v_{e} can only be predicted to +/- 20%. Phys. Rev. D54(1996)5578.

•Ratio of two flavors is independent of cosmic-ray flux Can be predicted to +/- 5%. $R = \frac{(v_{\mu}/v_{e})_{DATA}}{(v_{\mu}/v_{e})_{M.C.}}$

Estimate of v_{μ}/v_{e}

If we assume that there are no π or μ interactions, then:





Atmospheric neutrino measurements

Atmospheric Neutrino Experimental Results



Possible Reasons for Low Value of R

- Muon deficit is really electron excess from $p \rightarrow e^+ v v$ ۲ (Phys. Lett. B291,(1992)200.) i.e. Proton Decay background! Anomaly extends to beyond 1 GeV
- High energy neutron background ٠ (JETP Lett. 60,(1994)617) No evidence for this (<0.4% of total e-like events).
- ۲

$(\rightarrow \pi^0 \rightarrow \text{e-like events})$

- Particle I.D. problems KEK beam test --- particle I.D. OK
- Detector inefficiencies favor electron detection over muon detection ٠ SuperK results show no problem with inefficiency.
- Something peculiar about neutrino cross section on Oxygen • Phys. Rev. D48,(1993)3048 "...neglected physics cannot account for anomalous

ratio..." "...ratio is a robust measure of the anomaly."

All flux calculations for v_{μ} / v_{e} are wrong.

"...we identify the major source of the difference among the calculations. We find nothing that would affect the predicted ratio of v_{μ} / v_{e} , which is nearly the same in all calculations."

Neutrino Oscillations ----

BUT HOW TO PROVE THIS?

Schematic of Super-Kamiokande

•3000 feet underground – Japanese Alps
•50,000 cubic meters of purified water
•11, 200 – 20" diameter photomultiplier tubes
•1,800 – 8" diameter

photomultiplier tubes

Electronics huts Photomultiplier tube

SK-I: Apr 1996 – Jul 200: ↓ SK – II now running





Filling the Detector



Cherenkov Radiation



Water Cherenkov

- Cheap target material
- Surface instrumentation
- Vertex from timing
- Direction from ring edge
- Energy from pulse height, range and opening angle
- Particle ID from hit pattern and muon decay







Neutrino









Track Reconstruction

Calculate difference between observed time of hit and calculated time.
Adjust track to minimize.





Particle Identification



Study Angular Distributions of v_{μ} and v_{e} with $f_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} -$

 $\Delta m^{2} L/4 \pi E$

 $P_{\nu\nu'} = sin^2 2\theta sin^2 (1.27\Delta m^2 L/E_{\nu})$

About 13,000 km

About 15 km

 $L \sim E_{v} / \delta m^{2}$

Neutrinos produced in the atmosphere at ~ 15 km altitude... travel through the earth and interact in the detector.

Sensitivity of angular distribution to neutrino oscillations



What is seen?



Data Samples





Fully-contained events
Partially-contained events
Upward-going neutrino induced muons.

- •Those that enter and exit the detector.
- •Those that stop in the detector.

Different systematics Different energy ranges

Zenith distributions with combined fit



Results of combined fit FC+PC+Up-m+Multi-ring





L/E distribution

1489.2 days FC+PC



Neutrino oscillation analysis





Comparison of the allowed parameter regions between zenith angle analysis and L/E analysis



New Proposed Detectors 10 to 20 Times Super-K





Super-K

Hyper-K Associated with second phase of new long baseline experiment

Summary

- Atmospheric v_{μ} are disappearing
 - Oscillations $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\tau}$ are dominant (99% c.l.)
 - sin²20 > 0.9; ∆m²=1.3 ~3.0x10⁻³ eV²
 @ 90% confidence level
 - L/E oscillation pattern observed
 - Small contribution of $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ oscillations is not excluded
 - Sin²2θ₁₃<0.15 @90% CL
 - Pure oscillations $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_s$ are excluded at 99% CL • Admixture < 0.19 @90%CL
 - $-v_{\tau}$ appearance needs more statistics...now ~ 2.5 σ

Comparison to other models



